

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,794	11/12/2003	Kelly W. Adams	074901.0103	7188
5073 75	590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE		DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			3732	

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{A}		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/706,794	ADAMS, KELLY	w. /		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
	Robyn Doan	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	I V IQ QET TO EVDII	DE 2 MONTH(S) EDOM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repless of the provided of the provided above is less than thirty (30) days, a repless of th	.136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minim d will apply and will expire SD te, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 i	November 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	· -	·	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considerat	ion.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.		· ·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirem	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	•	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	LAMITHIEL HOLE THE A	Mached Office Action of John F	10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•		l Stage		
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	• •			
See the attached detailed Office action for a ils	t of the certified copi	es not received.			
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)			
2)		per No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application (PT	'O-152\		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/12/03</u> .		her:	- 100/		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-15 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dutcher (IDS cited reference).

With regard to claims 1-4, 7-11, Dutcher discloses an apparatus inherently for coloring skin (fig. 1) comprising a flat base (4) having a first side (6, fig. 2) and a second side (opposite to 6), a plurality of coloring elements (12), each comprises a protrusion (fig. 1) at an end distal and configured to engage a groove formed in the base for coupling the elements to the base (fig. 1) each coloring element being selectively changeable; the coloring elements comprise elongated pencils (col. 1, line 10) wherein each pencil comprises an intermediated portion between two free ends thereof and a coloring agent disposed proximate each free end thereof (it is noted that each pencil has a lead (core) which is a coloring agent being in the middle of the wooded portion). The above device adapted to color a desired area of the skin such as the person's scalp. With regard to the functional language, e.g. "skin coloring", "scalp coloring", etc., it is noted that the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not

Art Unit: 3732

differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). In regard to claims 12-15 and 18-19, the above apparatus is capable to perform the claimed methods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dutcher.

With regard to claims 5-6 and 16-17, Dutcher discloses an apparatus comprising all the claimed limitations in claims 1 and 12 as discussed above except for the coloring agents having a variety of colors. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a variety of colors to the apparatus of Dutcher for the intended use purpose of the known elements.

Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Carns et al, Dunn et al, Romano, Ahmed and Wang are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a coloring apparatus.

The drawings filed 11/12/03 have been approved by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (703) 306-9182. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-7:00; alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Kieu Doan

Examiner

August 31, 2004

John J. Wilson Primary Exeminer